The Ayurvedic College and the Travancore University.

91. * **Mr. M. S. Anirudhan**: Will the Government be pleased to state whether they propose to bring the Ayurvedic College under the control of the Travancore University from the date from which the University begins to function?

Rao Bahadur Rajyasevanirata Dr. N. Kunjan Pillai: The matter has not yet been considered.

Remuneration to lawyers other than Government Pleaders.

92. *Mr. Nedumangad R. Kesavan Nair: Will the Government be pleased to state:

(*a*) whether any amounts under legal remunerations have been paid by them in 1111, 1112 and 1113 to persons in and outside Travancore, other than Government pleaders in the department; and

(*b*) if the answer to the above question is in the affirmative will they be pleased to lay on the table a statement showing the amounts so disbursed, specifying the date of payment and also the names of the individuals to whom such payments have been made?

Rao Bahadur Rajyasevanirata Dr. N. Kunjan Pillai:

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) The *statement is laid on the table.

Mr. Nedumangad R. Kesavan Nair: May I know whether the service of any lawyers from outside the State was got during the period under reference?

Rao Bahadur Rajyasevanirata Dr. N. Kunjan Pillai: Sir, Government thought that the question referred only to lawyers other than Government Pleaders who conducted cases in courts. If the question refers also to lawyers engaged for other purposes the answer is "yes."

Mr. Nedumangad R. Kesavan Nair: Sir, I want to know whether Government have engaged lawyer from outside the State for any purpose?

Rao Bahadur Rajyasevanirata Dr. N. Kunjan Pillai: Yes.

Mr. Nedumangad R. Kesavan Nair: What was the exact amount paid to them as remuneration?

Rao Bahadur Rajyasevanirata Dr. N. Kunjan Pillai: Sir, the services of a lawyer were engaged to argue the case for Travancore before the Board of Arbitration on the question of generating electricity by utilising water from the Periyar.

Mr. Nedumangad R. Kesavan Nair: May I know the amount that was paid to him?

Vide Appendix I.—page 306.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Rao Bahadur Rajyasevanirata Dr. N. Kunjan Pillai: Rs. 23,000.

Mr. Nedumangad R. Kesavan Nair: May I know the name of that lawyer ?

Rao Bahadur Rajyasevanirata Dr. N. Kunjan Pillai: Sir C. P. Ramaswami Aiyar.

Mr. Nedumangad R. Kesavan Nair: May I know whether any other lawyer was engaged ?

Rao Bahadur Rajyasevanirata Dr. N. Kunjan Pillai: Is it for Periyar Arbitration case?

Mr. Nedumangad R. Kesavan Nair: No, for any other purpose any other lawyer from outside ?

Rao Bahadur Rajyasevanirata Dr. N. Kunjan Pillai: The Government engaged the services of a lawyer in connection with the legal and constitutional matters that were under negotiation with the Government of India.

Mr. Nedumangad R. Kesavan Nair: May I know the name of that lawyer ?

Rao Bahadur Rajyasevanirata Dr. N. Kunjan Pillai: Sir C. P. Ramaswami Aiyar.

Mr. Nedumangad R. Kesavan Nair: I want to know whether any other lawyer was engaged ?

Rao Bahadur Rajyasevanirata Dr. N. Kunjan Pillai: No other lawyer was engaged during the period under reference.

President : As my name has been mentioned, I may explain to the House the circumstances in which I was engaged to represent Travancore in the Periyar Arbitration case. Certain newspapers in Travancore raised an objection that the monthly remuneration paid to me by the State was extravagant. I thereupon indicated to the Head of the Government at the time that I was anxious that such criticisms should not be levelled against me and that I would not accept any monthly remuneration but I would charge Travancore exactly the same fees that I had been charging for many years in respect of every case for which I was engaged by or on behalf of an Indian State. My usual fees had been Rs. 2,000 per day for appearance in court or other tribunal or functionary before I became Dewan of Travancore. It was made clear that the State may engage any one else if these terms were considered onerous.

Productivity of the soil of the several varieties of paddy lands.

93. **Mr. N. V. Chacko :** Will the Government be pleased to state :

(*a*) whether the productivity of the soil of any or all the varieties of paddy lands in Travancore has increased or decreased during (*i*) the last five years and (*ii*) the last ten years ;

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Appendix I.

***Vide* Answer to Question No. 92.**

Statement of legal remuneration paid.

Name of Vakil.	Amount paid Rs.	Date of payment.
1 Mr. Malloor Govinda Pillai	2,035-20-0	8th Medam 1111
2 Mr. K. G. Kunjukrishna Pillai	500-0-0	10th Meenam 1111
Do.	1,600-0-0	26th Karkatakam 1111
Do.	500-0-0	29th do.
Do.	1,000-0-0	26th Kanni 1113

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

ADJOURNMENT MOTION.

President : I have received notice of a motion for the adjournment of the House but which is really out of order. Mr. Thuppan Namputhiri has given notice of the following motion for the adjournment of the House :

> "I beg to move that the business of the council be adjourned for the purpose of discussing an urgent matter, namely, the loss of rights and of income as well as the hardships caused to the Jenmies of Travancore consequent on the unjust and vexatious Karampathippu proceedings taken in the course of the Jenmikaram enquiry conducted throughout the State, on the Government not appointing from time to time commutation committees for revising the commutation rates of the Jenmikaram paddy and as a result of the fixing of Jenmikaram on the basis of a wrong decision that the Agrasala Para and the standard Para are different."

It is clear, I take it, to the honourable members of the House that though the motion raises a serious question, it is neither urgent nor is it a definite matter of public importance. It raises a question of the policy of the State, and it is well known that questions relating